Brown, Katherine

From: Brown, Katherine

Sent: Monday, August 11, 2014 5:10 PM

To: sca@sunaq.org
Cc: sca@sunaq.org

Subject: RE: FW: Comments on Sun'aq Fish Consumption Survey

Thanks Kathy. I've reviewed the comments and I think it's almost all doable. I'll call you Wednesday at 2pm AK.

From: Kathy Drabek [mailto:sca@sunaq.org] Sent: Monday, August 11, 2014 4:32 PM

To: Brown, Katherine **Cc:** biology@sunaq.org

Subject: RE: FW: Comments on Sun'aq Fish Consumption Survey

Hello Katherine,

I have only started to look at L.K.'s revision notes and Tom hasn't had time yet. We will though, both be available Wednesday at 2:00 our time. Please give us a call and we can discuss the email list and document revision comments.

Regards,

Kathy

P.S. Our new Administrator/CEO will start tomorrow

From: Brown, Katherine [mailto:Brown.Katherine@epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, August 11, 2014 2:41 PM **To:** Kathy Drabek; biology@sunaq.org

Subject: FW: FW: Comments on Sun'aq Fish Consumption Survey

Let's set up some time to talk about this, after you've had some time to review (general comments in this email and specific recommendations within the QAPP). Are you both available Wednesday after lunch, 1 or 2pm your time?

From: Brown, Katherine

Sent: Monday, August 11, 2014 3:32 PM

To: Kissinger, Lon

Cc: Fleming, Sheila; Williamson, Ann; Grepo-Grove, Gina; Brown, Donald M.; Thomas, Sally

Subject: Re: FW: Comments on Sun'aq Fish Consumption Survey

Hi Lon!

Thank you so much. These comments are very helpful. I'm passing them along to the tribe, and I think we can get most of them addressed/incorporated within a couple weeks. Thanks again!

Katherine x7363

From: Kissinger, Lon

Sent: Sunday, August 10, 2014 10:51 PM

To: Brown, Katherine

Cc: Fleming, Sheila; Williamson, Ann; Grepo-Grove, Gina **Subject:** Comments on Sunn'aq Fish Consumption Survey

Hi Katherine,

Sorry about not getting this to you Friday, too much other stuff to do!

To be clear, these comments are provided as courtesy to the villages for their consideration. They do not constitute an "approval." If the comments are addressed, it does not imply that the work will be suitable outside of supporting the villages in characterizing their seafood consumption for their own purposes. To be sure, addressing these comments will substantially improve the quality of the final reports.

Major comments:

- 1) The survey should have a pilot test phase.
- 2) It would be good to have more supporting data on how the selected fish species were derived.
- 3) The survey should consider adding additional model types to help characterize unique seafood preparations and organisms other than fish. Each model should have a raw flesh weight associated with it.
- 4) The consumption tables should be modified to include, for each species, model type and fraction or multiple of model consumed. This approach would eliminate redundancy with the final portion of the survey.
- 5) If the surveys are to have regulatory significance, they should characterize upper percentiles of seafood consumption. National Ambient Water Quality standards are based on the 90th percentile of national data.
- 6) The survey should consider recording consumption during high and low season if they are variable.
- 7) Questions should be examined to determine whether they are asked over categories that are too broad. For example, shellfish could include crab, bivalves, and unique organisms (e.g. octopus). It may be confusing to ask questions over such broad categories. Consumption of halibut and salmon could also differ radically.
- 8) The survey should include an interviewer training guide.
- 9) Procedures for analyzing data should be considered.
- 10) I would defer to a statistician to evaluate sample sizes and pooling data across villages.

Regards,

Lon Kissinger
Risk Assessor
Office of Environmental Assessment, Risk Evaluation Unit
U.S. EPA - Region 10, Suite 900
Mail Stop: OEA-095
1200 6th Ave.
Seattle, WA 98101

kissinger.lon@epa.gov

206-553-2115 voice 206-553-0119 FAX